

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/722,771	11/26/2003	Scott Mordin Hoyte	128596	7209	
7590 11/16/2005		EXAMINER			
John S. Beulick			KRAMSKAYA, MARINA		
Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Metropolitian Square			2858		
St. Louis, MO	63102		DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005	DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/722,771	HOYTE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Marina Kramskaya	2858

Deloie the I ming of an Appear Dilei	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marina Kramskaya	2858			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 25 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. □ The period for reply exp					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		-	,		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an o	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and		
 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
	M. Kra	rmslaja			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new claiming of simultaneous multifrequency measurements of inductive gap at at least three frequencies utilizing at least one inductive ratio would require further search and consideration..

ANJAN DEB
PRIMARY EXAMINER